



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,230	12/15/2000	Masaaki Noda	0819-474	9138

7590 01/24/2003

Eric J. Robinson
Nixon Peabody LLP
Suite 800
8180 Greensboro Drive
McLean, VA 22102

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, JOSEPH H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2815

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/736,230	NODA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Nguyen	2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Joseph Nguyen. (3) _____
 (2) Jerome Massie. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 January 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the proposed amendment to claim 1 so as to better define the claim language and to structurally distinguish from the prior art therein. The Examiner acknowledges that the proposed amendment would raise a new issue since it is after Final Rejection. However, the Examiner will fully consider the amendment when officially filed in response to the Office Action mailed on 11/27/2002.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Joseph Nguyen
 Examiner's signature, if required